

Integrability and PT-symmetry of N -Body Systems with Spin-coupling δ -Interactions

Shao-Ming Fei

Department of Mathematics, Capital Normal University, Beijing, China

Institute of Applied Mathematics, University of Bonn, 53115 Bonn, Germany

Abstract

We study the PT-symmetric boundary conditions for “spin”-related δ -interactions and the corresponding integrability for both bosonic and fermionic many-body systems. The spectra and bound states are discussed in detail for spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particle systems.

Key words: Spin-coupling, δ -interaction, PT-symmetry, Integrability

PACS number(s): 02.30.Ik, 11.30.Er, 03.65.Fd

Integrable models play significant roles in statistical and condensed matter physics. Many of these models can be exactly solved in terms of an algebraic or coordinate “Bethe Ansatz method” [1], see e.g., [2, 3] for spin chain and ladder models and [4, 5] for (continuous variable) quantum mechanical models. In particular, the quantum mechanical solvable models describing a particle moving in a local singular potential concentrated at one or a discrete number of points have been extensively discussed, see e.g. [6, 7, 8] and references therein. One dimensional problems with point interactions at, say, the origin ($x = 0$) can be characterized by the boundary conditions imposed on the wave function at $x = 0$, which is equivalent to two particles with contact interactions. The integrability of one dimensional quantum mechanical many-body problems with general contact interactions between two particles, has been studied in [9] and the bound states and scattering matrices are calculated for both bosonic and fermionic statistics. The results are generalized to the case of quantum mechanical systems with “spin”-related contact interactions, namely, the boundary conditions describing the contact interactions are dependent on the spin states of the particles [10].

Recently, the complex generalization of conventional quantum mechanics has been investigated [11]. In stead of the standard formulation of quantum mechanics in terms of Hermitian Hamiltonians, quantum mechanical models with space-time reflection symmetry (PT symmetry) have been constructed and studied for continuous interaction potentials [12]. For point interaction potentials a systematic description of the boundary conditions and the spectra properties for self-adjoint, PT-symmetric systems and systems with real spectra have been presented, and the corresponding integrability of one dimensional many body systems with these kinds of point

interactions are studied [13]. In this article we study the PT-symmetric boundary conditions for “spin”-related δ -interactions and the corresponding integrability for many-body case.

The family of the usual point interactions for the one dimensional Schrödinger operator $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2}$ can be described by 2×2 matrices. In particular, the boundary conditions describing the δ -potential interactions have the following form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \varphi' \end{pmatrix}_{0+} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \varphi' \end{pmatrix}_{0-}, \quad (1)$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varphi(x)$ is the scalar wave function of two spinless particles with relative coordinate x . (1) also describes two particles with spin s but without any spin coupling between the particles when they meet (i.e. $x = 0$), in this case φ represents any one of the components of the wave function. It is easily verified that the boundary condition (1) is both self-adjoint and PT-symmetric.

Now consider two particles with spin s and have both δ -interactions and spin couplings when they meet. For a particle with spin s , the wave function has $n = 2s + 1$ components. Therefore two particles with δ -interactions have a general boundary condition, described in the center of mass coordinate system:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \psi' \end{pmatrix}_{0+} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_2 & 0 \\ C & \mathbf{I}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \psi' \end{pmatrix}_{0-}, \quad (2)$$

where the wave function ψ and its derivative ψ' are n^2 -dimensional column vectors, C is an $n^2 \times n^2$ matrix, and $\mathbf{I}_2$ is the $n^2 \times n^2$ identity matrix.

For general C the interactions described by the boundary condition (2) is neither self-adjoint nor PT-symmetric. From the symmetry condition of the Schrödinger operator, $\langle -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}u, v \rangle_{L_2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}^n)} = \langle u, -\frac{d^2}{dx^2}v \rangle_{L_2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}^n)} = 0$, for any $u, v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$, one has that (2) is self-adjoint when $C = C^\dagger$, where $\dagger$ stands for the conjugate and transpose.

Applying PT operator to (2) we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ -\psi' \end{pmatrix}_{0-} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_2 & 0 \\ C^* & \mathbf{I}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ -\psi' \end{pmatrix}_{0+}.$$

Hence the boundary conditions for the function $PT\psi$ are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \psi' \end{pmatrix}_{0-} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_2 & 0 \\ -C^* & \mathbf{I}_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \psi' \end{pmatrix}_{0+},$$

which coincides with (2) if and only if

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_2 & 0 \\ -C^* & \mathbf{I}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_2 & 0 \\ C & \mathbf{I}_2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}. \quad (3)$$

This implies that $C^* = C$, i.e., C is a real matrix.

Therefore the boundary condition (2) is self-adjoint when C is Hermitian and PT-symmetric when C is real. When C is real symmetric, then the interaction described by the boundary condition (2) is both self-adjoint and PT-symmetric. When $C = c\mathbf{I}_2$, (2) is reduced to the case (1) without spin-coupling interactions when two particles meet. In the following we study quantum systems with contact interactions described by the boundary condition (2). We first consider two spin- s particles case. The Hamiltonian is then of the form

$$H = \left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2}\right)\mathbf{I}_2 + 2h\delta(x_1 - x_2), \quad (4)$$

where h is an $n^2 \times n^2$ matrix. If the matrix h is proportional to the unit matrix $\mathbf{I}_2$, H is reduced to the usual two-particle Hamiltonian with contact interactions but no spin coupling.

Let e_α , $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$, be the basis (column) vector with the α -th component as 1 and the rest components 0. The wave function of the system (4) is of the form

$$\psi = \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^n \phi_{\alpha\beta}(x_1, x_2) e_\alpha \otimes e_\beta. \quad (5)$$

In the center of mass coordinate system, $X = (x_1 + x_2)/2$, $x = x_1 - x_2$, the operator (4) has the form

$$H = -\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^2} + 2\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\right)\mathbf{I}_2 + 2h\delta(x). \quad (6)$$

The functions $\phi = \phi(x, X)$ from the domain of this operator satisfy the following boundary conditions at $x = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'_{\alpha\beta}(0^+, X) - \phi'_{\alpha\beta}(0^-, X) &= \sum_{\gamma, \lambda=1}^n h_{\gamma\lambda, \alpha\beta} \phi_{\gamma\lambda}(0, X), \\ \phi_{\alpha\beta}(0^+, X) &= \phi_{\alpha\beta}(0^-, X), \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where the indices of the matrix h are arranged as 11, 12, ..., 1n; 21, 22, ..., 2n; ...; n1, n2, ..., nn. (7) is just the boundary condition (2) with $C = h$. Here h acts on the basis vectors of the two particles as $h(e_\alpha \otimes e_\beta) = \sum_{\gamma, \lambda=1}^n h_{\alpha\beta, \gamma\lambda} e_\gamma \otimes e_\lambda$.

According to the statistics ψ is symmetric (resp. antisymmetric) under the interchange of the two particles if s is an integer (resp. half integer). Let k_1 and k_2 be the momenta of the two particles. In the region $x_1 < x_2$, in terms of Bethe hypothesis the wave function has the following form

$$\psi = u_{12}e^{i(k_1x_1+k_2x_2)} + u_{21}e^{i(k_2x_1+k_1x_2)}, \quad (8)$$

where u_{12} and u_{21} are $n^2 \times 1$ column matrices. In the region $x_1 > x_2$,

$$\psi = (P^{12}u_{12})e^{i(k_1x_2+k_2x_1)} + (P^{12}u_{21})e^{i(k_2x_2+k_1x_1)}, \quad (9)$$

where according to the symmetry or antisymmetry conditions, $P^{12} = p^{12}$ for bosons and $P^{12} = -p^{12}$ for fermions, p^{12} being the operator on the $n^2 \times 1$ column that interchanges the spins of

the two particles. Substituting (8) and (9) into the boundary conditions (7), we get

$$\begin{cases} u_{12} + u_{21} = P^{12}(u_{12} + u_{21}), \\ ik_{12}(u_{21} - u_{12}) = hP^{12}(u_{12} + u_{21}) + ik_{12}P^{12}(u_{12} - u_{21}), \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

where $k_{12} = (k_1 - k_2)/2$. Eliminating the term $P^{12}u_{12}$ from (10) we obtain the relation

$$u_{21} = Y_{21}^{12}u_{12}, \quad (11)$$

where

$$Y_{21}^{12} = [2ik_{12}\mathbf{I}_2 - h]^{-1}[2ik_{12}P^{12} + h]. \quad (12)$$

For a system of N identical particles with δ -interactions, the Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = -\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \mathbf{I}_N + \sum_{i<j}^N h_{ij} \delta(x_i - x_j), \quad (13)$$

where $\mathbf{I}_N$ is the $n^N \times n^N$ identity matrix, h_{ij} is an operator acting on the i -th and j -th bases as h and the rest as identity, e.g., $h_{12} = h \otimes \mathbf{1}_3 \otimes \dots \mathbf{1}_N$, with $\mathbf{1}_i$ the $n \times n$ identity matrix acting on the i -th particle. The wave function in a given region, say $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_N$, is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &= \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N=1}^n \phi_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N}(x_1, \dots, x_N) e_{\alpha_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\alpha_N} \\ &= u_{12\dots N} e^{i(k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2 + \dots + k_N x_N)} + u_{21\dots N} e^{i(k_2 x_1 + k_1 x_2 + \dots + k_N x_N)} \\ &\quad + (N! - 2) \text{ other terms,} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where k_j , $j = 1, \dots, N$, are the momentum of the j -th particle. u are $n^N \times 1$ matrices. The wave functions in the other regions are determined from (14) by the requirement of symmetry (for bosons) or antisymmetry (for fermions). Along any plane $x_i = x_{i+1}$, $i \in 1, 2, \dots, N-1$, we have

$$u_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_j \alpha_{j+1} \dots \alpha_N} = Y_{\alpha_{j+1} \alpha_j}^{jj+1} u_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_{j+1} \alpha_j \dots \alpha_N}, \quad (15)$$

where

$$Y_{\alpha_{j+1} \alpha_j}^{jj+1} = [2ik_{\alpha_j \alpha_{j+1}} \mathbf{I}_N - h_{jj+1}]^{-1} [2ik_{\alpha_j \alpha_{j+1}} P^{jj+1} + h_{jj+1}]. \quad (16)$$

Here $k_{\alpha_j \alpha_{j+1}} = (k_{\alpha_j} - k_{\alpha_{j+1}})/2$ play the role of momenta and $P^{jj+1} = p^{jj+1}$ for bosons and $P^{jj+1} = -p^{jj+1}$ for fermions, where p^{jj+1} is the operator on the $n^N \times 1$ column u that interchanges the spins of particles j and $j+1$.

For consistency the operators Y in (16) must satisfy the Yang-Baxter equation with spectral parameter [4, 5],

$$Y_{ij}^{m,m+1} Y_{kj}^{m+1,m+2} Y_{ki}^{m,m+1} = Y_{ki}^{m+1,m+2} Y_{kj}^{m,m+1} Y_{ij}^{m+1,m+2},$$

or

$$Y_{ij}^{mr} Y_{kj}^{rs} Y_{ki}^{mr} = Y_{ki}^{rs} Y_{kj}^{mr} Y_{ij}^{rs}$$

if m, r, s are all unequal, and

$$Y_{ij}^{mr} Y_{ji}^{mr} = 1, \quad Y_{ij}^{mr} Y_{kl}^{sq} = Y_{kl}^{sq} Y_{ij}^{mr} \quad (17)$$

if m, r, s, q are all unequal. By a straightforward calculation it can be shown that the operator Y given by (16) satisfies all the Yang-Baxter relations if

$$[h_{ij}, P^{ij}] = 0. \quad (18)$$

Therefore if the Hamiltonian operators for the spin coupling commute with the spin permutation operator, the N -body quantum system (13) can be exactly solved. The wave function is then given by (14) and (15) with the energy $E = \sum_{i=1}^N k_i^2$.

For the case of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$, h is a 4×4 matrix. A matrix satisfying (18) is generally of the form

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} a & e_1 & e_1 & c \\ e_2 & f & g & e_3 \\ e_2 & g & f & e_3 \\ d & e_4 & e_4 & b \end{pmatrix}, \quad (19)$$

where $a, b, c, d, f, g, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 \in \mathbb{C}$. When $e_2 = e_1^*$, $e_4 = e_3^*$, $d = c^*$ and $a, b, f, g \in \mathbb{R}$, the interaction is reduced to the self-adjoint case [10]. when $a, b, c, d, f, g, e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 \in \mathbb{R}$, the interaction is PT-symmetric, and when $e_2 = e_1$, $e_4 = e_3$, $d = c$ and $a, b, c, f, g, e_1, e_3 \in \mathbb{R}$, the interaction is both self-adjoint and PT-symmetric.

One can compare this kind of quantum mechanical integrable systems with the integrable spin chain models. Let V denote a complex vector space. A matrix R taking values in $\text{End}_c(V \otimes V)$ is called a solution of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation (QYBE) without spectral parameters, if it satisfies $\mathcal{R}_{12}\mathcal{R}_{13}\mathcal{R}_{23} = \mathcal{R}_{23}\mathcal{R}_{13}\mathcal{R}_{12}$, where $\mathcal{R}_{ij}$ denotes the matrix on the complex vector space $V \otimes V \otimes V$, acting as R on the i -th and the j -th components and as identity on the other components. When V is two dimensional, the solutions of QYBE include the ones of the form (19) [14], although generally (19) is not a solution of the QYBE. A solution R in principle corresponds to a kind of spin coupling operator between the nearest neighbor spins and gives rise to an integrable spin chain model by Baxterization [15]. Therefore for an N -body system to be integrable, the spin coupling in the contact interaction (19) is allowed to be more general than the spin coupling in a Heisenberg spin chain model with nearest neighbors interactions.

The spectra of (13) with h given by (19) are generally complex. They are real when the spectra of h are real. The self-adjoint case is included in the parameter family that gives real spectra. The real spectra related to the PT-symmetric case are divided into two parts: self-adjoint and non-Hermitian.

When h gives purely real spectra, The many-body system (13) possesses also bound states. For $N = 2$, from (10) the bound states have the form,

$$\psi_\alpha^2 = u_\alpha e^{\frac{c+a\Lambda_\alpha}{2}|x_2-x_1|}, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n^2, \quad (20)$$

where u_α is the common α -th eigenvector of the operators h and P^{12} , with eigenvalue Λ_α , such that $hu_\alpha = \Lambda_\alpha u_\alpha$ and $c + a\Lambda_\alpha < 0$, $P^{12}u_\alpha = u_\alpha$. The eigenvalue of the Hamiltonian H corresponding to the bound state (20) is $-(c + a\Lambda_\alpha)^2/2$. In stead of a unique bound state for δ -interaction with boundary condition (1), here we have n^2 bound states. For the N -particle system the bound states are then given by

$$\psi_\alpha^N = v_\alpha e^{-\frac{c+a\Lambda_\alpha}{2} \sum_{i>j} |x_i - x_j|}, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n^2, \quad (21)$$

where v_α is the wave function of the spin part. It can be checked that ψ_α^N satisfy the boundary condition (7) at $x_i = x_j$ for any $i \neq j \in 1, \dots, N$. The spin wave function v here satisfies $P^{ij}v_\alpha = v_\alpha$ and $h_{ij}v_\alpha = \Lambda_\alpha v_\alpha$, for any $i \neq j$. The energy of the bound state ψ_α^N is $E_\alpha = -(c + a\Lambda_\alpha)^2 N(N^2 - 1)/12$. The corresponding scattering matrices can be similarly studied for real spectra case.

We have studied the boundary conditions with spin-coupling δ -interactions including self-adjoint, PT-symmetric and real spectrum families. The corresponding integrability for both bosonic and fermionic many-body systems are investigated. Detailed examples are given to the case of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particle systems, where the spectra and bound states are also discussed. The results can be straightforwardly generalized to higher spin particle systems. The contact interaction we considered here is just δ -interaction. For general contact interactions with spin-couplings, the PT-symmetries, spectra problems, and integrability of many-body problem could be much more complicated and remain to be investigated further.

References

- [1] V.E. Korepin, N.M. Bogoliubov and A.G. Izergin, *Quantum Inverse Scattering Method and Correlation Functions*, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
L.D. Faddeev and L.A. Takhtadzhyan, Russ. Math. Surv. **34** (1979) 11.
- [2] H.J. de Vega and M. Karowski, Nucl. Phys. B **280** (1987) 225.
N. Reshetikhin and P.B. Wiegman, Phys. Lett. B **18** (1987) 125. E.K. Sklyanin, J. Phys. A **2** (1988) 2375.
A. Foerster and M. Karowski, Nucl. Phys. B **408** (1993) 512.
H.J. de Vega and A.G. Ruiz, Nucl. Phys. B **417** (1994) 553.
L. Mezincescu and R.I. Nepomechie, Nucl. Phys. B **372** (1992) 597.
- [3] S. Albeverio, S.M. Fei and Y.P. Wang, EuroPhys. Lett. **47** (1999) 364.
Y. Wang, Phys. Rev. B **60** (1999) 9236.
Y. Wang, P. Schlottmann, Phys. Rev. B **62** (2000) 3845.

- [4] C.N. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **19** (1967) 1312.
C.N. Yang, Phys. Rev. **168** (1968) 1920.
- [5] C.H. Gu and C.N. Yang, Commun. Math. Phys. **122** (1989) 105.
- [6] S. Albeverio, F. Gesztesy, R. Høegh-Krohn and H. Holden, *Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics*, New York: Springer, 1988.
- [7] M. Gaudin, *La fonction d'onde de Bethe*, Masson, 1983.
- [8] S. Albeverio and R. Kurasov, *Singular perturbations of differential operators and solvable Schrödinger type operators*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 271, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [9] S. Albeverio, L. Dąbrowski and S.M. Fei, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **14** (2000) 721.
- [10] S. Albeverio, S.M. Fei and P. Kurasov, Rep. Math. Phys. **47** (2001) 157.
- [11] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80** (1998) 5243.
- [12] F. M. Fernández, R. Guardiola, J. Ros, and M. Znojil, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen **31** (1998) 10105.
A. Mostafazadeh, J. Math. Phys. **43** (2002) 205; **43**, 2814 (2002); **43** (2002) 3944.
C. M. Bender, G. V. Dunne, and P. N. Meisenger, Phys. Lett. A **252** (1999) 272.
E. Delabaere and D. T. Trinh, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen **33** (2000) 8771.
A. Khare and B. P. Mandal, Phys. Lett. A **272** (2000) 53.
B. Bagchi, F. Cannata, and C. Quesne, Phys. Lett. A **269** (2000) 79.
M. Znojil and M. Tater, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen **34** (2001) 1793.
B. Bagchi, S. Mallik, and C. Quesne, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **16** (2001) 2859.
C. M. Bender, G. V. Dunne, P. N. Meisenger, and M. Şimşek, Phys. Lett. A **281**(2001) 311.
Z. Yan and C. R. Handy, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen **34** (2001) 9907.
Z. Ahmed, Phys. Lett. A **282**, 343 (2001); **286** (2001) 231; **294** (2002) 287.
C. M. Bender and Q. Wang, J. Phys. A **34** (2001) 3325.
C. M. Bender, Dorje C. Brody, Hugh F. Jones Phys. Rev. Lett. **89** (2002) 270401.
- [13] S. Albeverio, S.M. Fei and P. Kurasov, Lett. Math. Phys **59** (2002) 227.
- [14] S.M. Fei, H.Y. Guo and H. Shi, *J. Phys. A* **25** (1992) 2711.
J. Hietarinta, *Phys. Lett. A* **165** (1992) 245.
- [15] Z.Q. Ma, *Yang-Baxter Equation and Quantum Enveloping Algebras*, World Scientific, 1993.
V. Chari and A. Pressley, *A Guide to Quantum Groups*, Cambridge University Press, 1994.
C. Kassel, *Quantum Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1995.